

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/965,429	09/27/2001	Salah Obied	47079-0105	3630	
30223 7	590 08/24/2006		EXAMINER		
JENKENS & GILCHRIST, P.C. 225 WEST WASHINGTON			FOWLKES, ANDRE R		
SUITE 2600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, II	60606		2192		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Ar	plication No.	App	Applicant(s)				
		09	9/965,429	ОВИ	OBIED ET AL.				
		Ех	aminer	Art	<b>U</b> nit				
		I	dre R. Fowlkes	2192					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears	on the cover sheet	with the corres	pondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA asions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum statue to reply within the set or extended period for reply within t	ILING DATE 37 CFR 1.136(a). nication. Itory period will ap III, by statute, caus	OF THIS COMMUN In no event, however, may ply and will expire SIX (6) Mile the application to become	NICATION.  a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the main ABANDONED (35 to 1)	d iling date of this of J.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status									
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 7/10/06							
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims	·		·					
·									
	4) Claim(s) 1,2,4-12,35-37 and 40-44 is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
'=	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-12,35-37 and 40-44</u> is/s	are rejected							
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	uro rojectou.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or ele	ection requirement						
•		on ana/or cic	ottori requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objecti	on to the draw	ving(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 C	FR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)									

Application/Control Number: 09/965,429 Page 2

Art Unit: 2192

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is in response to the pre-appeal conference request filed 7/10/06.

- 2. The pre-appeal conference has been held and prosecution on the merits of this application is reopened.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4-12, 35-37 and 40-44 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 1, 2, 4-12, 35-37 and 40-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Quatrani, "Visual Modeling with Rational Rose 2000 and UML", ISBN: 0-201-69961-3, in view of Sidley, U.S. Patent No. 4,926,327 (art made of record).

As per claim 1, Quatrani discloses: a method comprising:

- presenting a software application, wherein the software application is presented by executing software code, at least one portion of the software code generated by a software development tool, (p. 16 line 7-8, "the Rational Rose product family is designed to provide the software developer with a complete set of

Application/Control Number: 09/965,429

Art Unit: 2192

visual modeling tools for development of ... (any kind of software applications)"), the generation including:

- preparing an analysis model for the software application, the analysis model describing functionality to be included in the software code (p. 13 line 15 – p. 14 line 5, "Structuring the project (using the software development tool) ... includes the following activities:

Page 3

- Requirements-a narration of the system vision along with a set of functional and non functional requirements (i.e. an analysis model)"),
- preparing a design model for the software application, the design model including a plurality of objects for realizing the functionality in the analysis model (p. 13 line 15 p. 14 line 5, "Structuring the project (using the software development tool) ... includes the following activities:
- Business Modeling-the identification of desired system capabilities and user needs (and objects)
- Analysis and Design (Models)- a description of how the system will be realized in the implementation phase (including a plurality of objects)", and software engineering principles specify that the design is based on the analysis of the solution),
- wherein the design model defines static relationships between the objects and dynamic behavior of the objects (p. 36 line 2-5, "uses cases and scenarios (i.e. object model diagrams) provide a way to describe (static) system behavior; that is, the interaction between objects in the system ... A state chart

diagram shows the ... events or messages that cause a transition from one state to another, and the actions that result from a state change (i.e. dynamic relationships between objects)"),

- generating, from the design model, the at least one portion of the software code, and receiving an input associated with the software application (p. 13 line 15 – p. 14 line 5, "Structuring the project (using the software development tool) ... includes the following activities:

-Implementation- the production of the code, (from the design model) ,that will result in an executable system", and the compiler responds to the request to produce code nearly simultaneously with the corresponding input.

Quatrani doesn't explicitly disclose creating a wagering game having a randomly selected outcome. However, Sidley, in an analogous environment, discloses wagering game having a randomly selected outcome (col. 2:5-6, "system for interactively playing poker (i.e. a wagering game) with a plurality of players", and col. 26:27-35, "the CPU may be programmed to randomly select a card... one (outcome) is randomly selected for player B", and col. 18:36-39, "A player is randomly selected and then receives a random card").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to incorporate the teachings of Sidley into the system of Quatrani to create a wagering game having a randomly selected outcome. The

modification would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would have wanted to use a software development application, like rational rose to simplify the development of applications of all types, including gaming applications.

As per claim 2, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and further, Quatrani discloses that the analysis model, the design model, and the software code are prepared using the software development tool (p. 13 line 15 – p. 14 line 5, "Structuring the project (using the software development tool) ... includes the following activities:

- Business Modeling-the identification of desired system capabilities and user needs
- Requirements-a narration of the system vision along with a set of functional and non functional requirements
- Analysis and Design (Models)- a description of how the system will be realized in the implementation phase
- -Implementation-the production of the code that will result in an executable system").

As per claim 4, the rejection of claim 3 is incorporated and further, Quatrani discloses that the design model includes object model diagrams and state charts, the object model diagrams defining the static relationships between the objects (p. 36 line 2-3, "uses cases and scenarios (i.e. object model diagrams) provide a way to

describe (static) system behavior; that is, the interaction between objects in the system"), the state charts defining the dynamic behavior of the objects (p. 36 line 4-5, "A state chart diagram shows the ... events or messages that cause a transition from one state to another, and the actions that result from a state change").

As per claim 5, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and further, Quatrani discloses that the functionality described by the analysis model is organized into use cases, the use cases being selected from the group consisting of handling money, playing the wagering game, handling critical events, and servicing a wagering game machine (p. 20 line 2-3, "The behavior of the system under development (i.e., what functionality must be provided by the system (the analysis model)) is documented in a use case model that illustrates the system's intended functions (use cases)", and Rational Rose is a system involving use cases that are created and selected for use depending on each type of application. For example, to create a banking program, using Rational Rose, one would have use cases for handling money and handling critical events).

As per claim 6, the rejection of claim 5 is incorporated and further, Quatrani discloses that the analysis model includes use case diagrams and sequence diagrams (p. 24 line 2-5, "sequence diagram ... use case), the use case diagrams defining relationships between the use cases and external actors outside the software application, the sequence diagrams defining a sequence of interactions

between the use cases and the external actors (p. 24 line 2-5, "A sequence diagram shows object interactions, (e.g. interactions between use case and the external actors), arranged in time sequence. It depicts the objects and classes involved in the scenario and the sequence of messages exchanged between the objects needed to carry out the functionality of the scenario. Sequence diagram typically are associated with the use case realizations, (which define relationships between the use cases and external actors), in the logical view of the system under development.").

As per claim 7, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and further, Quatrani discloses that the analysis model and the design model conform to the Unified Modeling Language (UML) standard (p. 10 line 10-11, "The Unified Modeling Language (UML) provides a very robust notation which grows from analysis into design (modeling)").

As per claim 8, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and further, the additional limitation of this claim, (that the application created using this system is a **slot reel game including a plurality of symbol-bearing reels that are rotated and stopped to place symbols on the reels in visual association with a display area**), is directed toward non-functional descriptive material, in that the specific type of software created with this software development system is merely non-functional descriptive material.

Non functional descriptive material cannot render non-obvious an invention that would

have otherwise been obvious (In re Gullack, 703 F.2d 1381, 1385, 217 USPQ 401, 404 (Fed.Circ. 1983)).

As per claim 9, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and further, Quatrani discloses that the software code includes another portion that is manually prepared (p. 18 line 22, "use round-trip engineering facilities to (automatically) keep your designs synchronized with your (manual and automatically generated) code").

As per claim 10, the rejection of claim 9 is incorporated and further, Quatrani discloses that the objects are associated with operations (p. 22 line 10, "(object) behavior is implemented by the set operations for the object"), the manually prepared portion of the software code defining the operations (p. 18 line 22, "use round-trip engineering facilities to (automatically) keep your designs synchronized with your (manual and automatically generated) code", and the user chooses which code to prepare manually).

As per claim 11, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and further, Quatrani discloses the step of modifying the design model and automatically modifying the software code in response to modifying the design model (p. 18 line 22, "use round-trip engineering facilities to (automatically) keep your designs synchronized with your code").

As per claim 12, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and further, Quatrani discloses modifying the software code and automatically modifying the design model in response to modifying the software code (p. 18 line 22, "use round-trip engineering facilities to (automatically) keep your designs synchronized with your code").

As per claims 35, this is another method version of the claimed method discussed above, in claims 1, 5 & 11, wherein all claimed limitations have also been addressed and/or cited as set forth above. For example, see Quatrani's Visual Modeling with Rational Rose 2000 and UML (p. 10 line 10 – 36 line 5) and Sidley's Computerized Gaming System (col. 2:5-26:35).

As per claims 36, this is another method version of the claimed method discussed above, in claims 1, 5, 12 & 37, wherein all claimed limitations have also been addressed and/or cited. For example, see Quatrani's Visual Modeling with Rational Rose 2000 and UML (p. 10 line 10 – 36 line 5) and Sidley's Computerized Gaming System (col. 2:5-26:35).

As per claim 37, the rejection of claim 6 is incorporated and further, Quatrani discloses that the external actors are selected from the group consisting of: a player, a money handling function, a host and a random number generator, (p. 20 line 2-3, "The behavior of the system under development (i.e., what functionality must

be provided by the system (the analysis model)) is documented in a use case model that illustrates the system's intended functions (use cases)", and Rational Rose is a system involving use cases and actors that are created and selected for use depending on each type of application. For example, to create a banking program, using Rational Rose, one would have actors for a player (i.e. a client), and a money handling function).

As per claim 40, this is a machine readable medium version of the claimed method discussed above, in claim 1, wherein all claimed limitations have also been addressed and/or cited. For example, see Quatrani's Visual Modeling with Rational Rose 2000 and UML (p. 10 line 10 – 36 line 5) and Sidley's Computerized Gaming System (col. 2:5-26:35).

As per claims 41-44, this is a machine version of the claimed method discussed above, in claims 2, 6-8 & 37, wherein all claimed limitations have also been addressed and/or cited. For example, see Quatrani's Visual Modeling with Rational Rose 2000 and UML (p. 10 line 10 – 36 line 5) and Sidley's Computerized Gaming System (col. 2:5-26:35).

## Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andre R. Fowlkes whose telephone number is (571) 272-3697. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00am-4:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/965,429

Art Unit: 2192

Page 11

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Q. Dam can be reached on (571)272-3695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ARF

TUAN DAM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER